Aviation industry plan to offset emissions will push global warming beyond 1.5° Celsius

Aviation has no existing United Nations’ targets to reduce emissions. The UN International Civil Aviation Organisation foresees that emissions will increase by between 300-700 per cent by 2050. Aviation is one of only two sectors worldwide with no existing United Nations’ (UN) targets to reduce emissions. In fact, the UN International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) foresees that emissions will increase by between 300-700 per cent by 2050.1 In September 2016, ICAO plans to adopt measures to achieve “carbon-neutral growth”2 from 2020. The proposal will introduce carbon offsetting and be voluntary until 2027. As the ICAO Assembly gathers to consider the proposal, the undersigned organisations stand together to denounce the plans in their current form as they undermine our ability to limit warming to the agreed UN aim of well below 2° Celsius, aiming for 1.5° Celsius.

We call on ICAO to reduce emissions now and to exclude forest and soil carbon offsets as a first step.
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Aviation is one of only two sectors worldwide with no existing United Nations’ (UN) targets to reduce emissions. In fact, the UN International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) foresees that emissions will increase by between 300-700 per cent by 2050. In September 2016, ICAO plans to adopt measures to achieve “carbon-neutral growth” from 2020. The proposal will introduce carbon offsetting and be voluntary until 2027. As the ICAO Assembly gathers to consider the proposal, the undersigned organisations stand together to denounce the plans in their current form as they undermine our ability to limit warming to the agreed UN aim of well below 2° Celsius, aiming for 1.5° Celsius. We call on ICAO to reduce emissions now and to exclude forest and soil carbon offsets as a first step.
**Carbon offsets do not reduce emissions**

Greenhouse gas emissions from aviation almost doubled from 1990 to 2006. This is a terrifying statistic given that it is caused by between 3-7 per cent of the world population. Aviation emissions need to start reducing and they need to start now. ICAO’s proposal seriously lacks ambition.

One of the main concerns is that ICAO’s proposal to reduce the climate impact of aviation relies heavily on carbon offsetting. Offsetting is widely considered a false solution to climate change because it does not reduce emissions, but merely shift emissions from one sector to another. At best, it is a zero-sum option.

So far, ICAO have elaborated a number of principles that carbon offsets would need to comply with, such as avoiding double counting, being calculated against a realistic baseline, and being permanent and doing no harm. However, the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) has deeply discredited the notion that offsets provide climate benefits. Offset projects have proved themselves, by and large, incapable of respecting the principles laid out by ICAO.

Fraudulent sales of carbon credits as investments have swindled many vulnerable pensioners out of their life savings. As a result of the experience with the CDM as well as controversies and scandals around carbon offset projects in the voluntary carbon markets, the world’s largest offset market, the EU Emissions Trading System, has officially banned the use of offset credits to meet the EU emission targets post 2020.

**Forests and soils do not offset fossil fuel emissions**

Land-based carbon offsets, such as from Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+) type projects or from agriculture are particularly contentious, with greater risks for the climate.

By nature, REDD+ projects place restrictions on existing land use – that is how they generate the carbon savings sold as offset credits. Because the large majority of REDD+ projects (wrongly) blames deforestation on small-scale peasant farming, in particular where it involves shifting cultivation, such restrictions have a detrimental impact on peasant livelihoods and forest peoples’ way of life. By contrast, REDD+ projects that tackle the real drivers of large-scale deforestation – extraction of oil, coal, mining, infrastructure, large-scale dams, industrial logging and international trade in agricultural commodities – are by and large absent.

With the challenges of counting emissions reductions and distributing offset payments to multiple small-scale farmers, there is a risk that agricultural offsets would favour large-scale farmers or monoculture farming practices, creating another driver of land dispossession of smallholder farmers, particularly in the global South.

Offset credits from forest conservation, tree plantation or soil carbon sequestration carry the additional risk of becoming null and void when wildfires, storms or natural decay cause uncontrollable release of carbon stored in the trees, soils or other natural habitats. This is one of the reasons why the CDM excludes all offset categories related to forest or agriculture land use except for afforestation, reforestation and biomass energy projects. Even then, credits from these tree planting offset projects are sold as temporary carbon credit because tree planting projects are not considered to permanently store carbon.

In short, land-based offset credits are controversial, and experience from REDD+ has shown that certification standards or safeguards cannot prevent conflicts.

We, the undersigned, call on the members of ICAO to ensure measures adopted at the 39th ICAO Assembly will make an adequate and fair contribution to the global effort to limit global warming to well-below 2° Celsius, and aspire for 1.5° Celsius. Any measure adopted at the 39th ICAO meeting must be a serious proposal to reduce emissions. It must also exclude land based offset credits, such as REDD+ type projects.